



## APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE ANGLIAN WATER INFORMATION PROVIDED ON 16 AND 21 JUNE 2022

PINS project reference: WS010005

PINS document reference: 15.2.6.2

Report Reference: AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2

June 2022



Baddesley Colliery Offices, Main Road, Baxterley, Atherstone, Warwickshire, CV9 2LE. Telephone : 01827 717891, Fax : 01827 718507



1

## Comments from the Applicant on the Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 and 21 June 2022

Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
Tables 1 and 2 regarding the proposed scoping and risk assessment sc		
Examination on 11 May 2022 [document reference 12.2.8.5] [REP5-007]. Anglian Water provided their comments on the proposals in Tables 1 and 2 on 16		
June 2022 and full copies of the responses are provided in document re		
responses from Anglian Water and their comments are in red text. The co	omments from the Applicant in response is provided in the m	hiddle and right hand
columns in purple text.		
Table 1. Scoping Table of Scenarios for Risk Assessment –		
annotations (Word file 2MB)		
Similarly it is considered that a suitable crossing over the pipelines can be	The results of the risk assessments set out in the Pipeline	No change needed
constructed that will protect the integrity of the pipelines. This may take	Risk Assessment [14.6.2.2] and the pipeline engineering	to the submitted
the form of placement of additional thickness of material over the pipeline	report [14.6.2.3] submitted with the request for a non	risk assessments
and/or the use of steel road plates or other structures to spread the load.	material change demonstrate that the proposed	and non material
A specification for design of the crossing is needed and we understand	development will not result in any material change to the	change request.
that it is for Anglian Water to provide the specification. This risk is therefore	risks to the stability of the pipes. It is also demonstrated	
not included in the assessments below. Your risk assessment – is based	that there will be no significant environmental risks as a	
on what width of easement?	result of failure of the pipes due to the presence of the	
	proposed development.	
	The assessment of the access needs to carry out	
	maintenance and repairs provided in the same reports	
	referred to above, demonstrates that access can be safely	
	accommodated at distances from 8.5m and up to a	
	maximum 'ideal' distance of 20m from the side of each	
	pipe.	
The two water pipes are each understood to be formed of steel 800mm in		No change needed
diameter with approximately 4.5m between the two pipe centres. The tops	provided by Anglian Water at the time of finalisation of the	to the submitted
are approximately 1.2m below the ground level. The pipe bedding is likely		risk assessments
to be Type S aggregate to half or two thirds the diameter of the pipe	been based on information provided in the April [REP4-	and non material
covered with backfill. Anglian Water are seeking as built drawings of the		change request.
<i>installed pipes.</i> We are seeking a scan of the existing drawing data. Our	reasonable worst case assumptions.	

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
pipelines are digitised and maintained within our GIS model as previously	ouninary comments from the Applicant	Actions ansing
indicated. We maintain information of over 80,000km of pipeline and		
maintenance of traditional drawings is not possible. We will however keep		
combing the archives we still maintain for some detailed information.		
The nearest isolation valves are 1km [where?] for the southern pipe and	It is noted from the information provided that there is an	No change needed
5km [where?] for the northern pipe. It has been suggested that it could	upstream isolation valve at the western boundary of the	to the submitted
take up to 4 hours [Anglian to confirm/update] for isolation following a	proposed western extension for the southern pipe	risk assessments
failure of the pipe. We have previously identified the location of isolation	whereas the closest isolation valve for the northern pipe is	and non material
valves in the north and south lines (see attachment for further detail)	approximately 1.4km west of the western site boundary,	change request.
Anglian have been requested to provide any internal (or other) references	however the information provided is limited (see 'Pipeline	
or guidance used for the prediction of pipe blow outs.	isolation points' file referenced below.)	Any agreement
The assessment of failure assumes a number of conditions; soils,		over the provision
hydrology, material and loading. Equally we have advised that, although	The water release and containment calculations reported	of leak detection
theoretical research has been done on failure analysis and determination	in the Pipeline Risk Assessment Report [14.6.2.2] are	monitoring at the
of erosion / crater formation we have extensive experience of dealing with	carried out based on the information provided by Anglian	site will managed
pressure pipeline failure and the aftermath of major burst events.	Water in [REP5-011] regarding the duration and water flow rate before shut off.	with Anglian Water
Catastrophic failure events often deliver significant material damage to the local area due to the volume of water emitted before resolution (attached		through the Protective
picture Horstead tower main, 450mm, taking above a 2m deep swathe)	Anglian Water state that there is no standard reference or	Provisions.
picture norstead tower main, 450mm, taking above a zin deep swatte	guidance that they use to predict crater formation following	FTOVISIONS.
	pipe catastrophic failure. The risk assessment and crater	
	size estimate presented in the pipeline engineering report	
	[14.6.2.3] is based on the expertise and experience of a	
	specialist pipeline engineer. The calculated crater size is	
	in keeping with the typical standoff easements required by	
	the various water utility companies as summarised in the	
	Pipeline Risk Assessment report [14.6.2.2]. The	
	assessments presented with the request for a non material	
	change demonstrate that the proposed development will	
	not result in any change to the risks to the stability of the	



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
	pipes. It is also demonstrated that there will be no significant environmental risks as a result of failure of the pipes due to the presence of the proposed development. It is demonstrated in the risk assessments that the presence of the proposed development will not affect the likelihood or consequences of a failure in the pipes compared with their current situation. Similarly, the proposed development will not change any effects on the pipeline as a result of extreme cold or dry weather.	
Weight of the potential for failure is based on a stead state condition and does not allow for additional localised loading, impact		
of nearby excavation, exposure of previously buried materials an differentially loaded areas. A recent review undertaken on our behalf (se attached Map) suggests a likelihood of circa 20-50 years although I ar seeking to understand this further. It is noted that we have alread	d While the probability of a leak is considered low, as explained in the Pipeline Risk Assessment report [14.6.2.2] adequate space is available for safe access to	

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
attended to a leak on the pipeline immediately adjacent to the Western	carry out repairs. The risk of significant leaks can be	Actions ansing
boundary where it enters the proposed development.	reduced further by the maintenance of the existing	
Our concern remains the proposed easement, its long-term exposure, lack		
of monitoring and potential to resist extreme weather events of cold	suggested by the Applicant.	
weather or drought periods which increases our risk significantly. In		
addition, the phased delivery of excavation and fill, including crossing of	The issues of "long term exposure", "extreme weather	
our pipelines to achieve this also contribute to undefined loading and	events of cold and drought" are not influenced by the	
therefore risk.	development. There will not be "undefined loading" as a	
Any resulting failure from the impact of that described places significant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
risk of customer supply, reputation and cost to Anglian Water. We remind	and fill and crossing have been defined. Nevertheless, the	
you that this is not a small service easily re-zoned but a major trunk main	consequences of failure have also been assessed.	
providing water to the city of Peterborough hence our view to remove this		
from the development area, as per the previous pipeline relocation.		
Agreement is needed on what activities by Augean are acceptable in the	The intention of this information request is to obtain details	No change needed
standoff area.	from Anglian Water regarding what types of landscape	to the submitted
Specify the easement width assessed? AW has made its minimum position	planting, such as hedges and trees, are acceptable within	risk assessments
clear.	specified distances of the water pipes. These details will	and non material
	be agreed with Anglian Water for the standoff area prior to	change request.
	finalisation of the standoff details.	<b>.</b>
Anglian are requested to confirm whether the pipes deliver treated water	This information is helpful confirmation but does not affect	No change needed
directly to supply or whether the water is directed to a blending/treatment	the approach to or conclusions of any of the risk	to the submitted
facility before entering supply. The pipes deliver clean and wholesome	assessments presented with the request for a non material	risk assessments
drinking water into to the distribution system for consumption - no further	change.	and non material
treatment is provided.		change request.
The scenarios for which the risks need to be assessed are set out in the		No change needed
table below. The scenarios are divided into the following categories:	Risk Assessment [14.6.2.2] and the pipeline engineering	to the submitted
<ul> <li>Long term stability in temporary position of easement with respect</li> </ul>	report [14.6.2.3] submitted with the request for a non	risk assessments
to adjacent works impact and the effect of protracted periods of	material change demonstrate that the proposed	and non material
extreme cold weather such as another 'Beast from the East' or	development will not result in any change to the risks to	change request.
extended period of drought?	the stability of the pipes. It is also demonstrated that there	

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information muscies that has Amelian Materian an 40 June 0000	Ourses and a financial back and back	
Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
	will be no significant environmental risks as a result of	
	failure of the pipes due to the presence of the proposed	
	development. Similarly, the proposed development will not	
	change any effects on the pipeline as a result of extreme	
	cold or dry weather.	
• access needs under normal circumstances, Assessment of	It is concluded in the pipeline engineering assessment	
crossing of construction and operational traffic and impact of	[14.6.2.3] that the original design stand-off dimension	to the submitted
phased loading / excavation to the corridor as phases are opened/	proposed by Augean of 7m from the fence line and a total	risk assessments
filled and closed	of 9.5m from the landfill excavation is more than adequate	and non material
	in all cases to make sure that the pipelines will be	change request.
	unaffected by any excavations taking place, and the	
	presence of the excavation activity will not increase the	A specification for
	likelihood of pipe failure from the shrink/swell effects	design of the
	associated with the excavation of the clay.	crossing will be
		discussed and
	The pipeline engineering report includes a risk	agreed with Anglian
	assessment of the effect of crossings over the pipes and	Water pursuant to
	concludes that a suitable crossing over the pipelines can	paragraph 4 of the
	be constructed readily, using standard methods that will	proposed
	protect the integrity of the pipelines.	Protective
	[······	Provisions for the
		benefit of Anglian
		Water. Anglian
		Water have stated
		[REP4-014] that
		they do not require
		a separate crossing
		agreement.
Table 2 Proposals to address the key risk scenarios. Annotations		agreement.
(Word file 2MB)		

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
<ol> <li>Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022         <ol> <li>As built information regarding the pipelines.</li> <li>We are recalling records, but all pipelines are digitised and added to our GIS model. Holding paper records of over 80,000km of pipes has led us to a digital approach. However, we do hold some scanned information which we are still combing records and will advise accordingly.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Tolerances for movements and strains of the pipeline including in particular at the location of the pipeline bends and the ground stresses that need to be maintained at the bends. All areas of pipeline, Bends and Valve points and crossing of pipe need assurance – we do not believe that this has been assessed.</li> </ol>	As no detailed information on the as-built data has yet been located by Anglian Water, the risk assessments carried out to date have been based on information provided in the April [REP4-013] and May 2022 [REP5- 011] statements and on reasonable worst case assumptions. The structural calculations presented in the pipeline engineering assessments [14.6.2.3] are based on reasonably worst case assumed values. When a new pipeline is designed, thrust restraint is provided at bends and valves to resist the forces created (which in this case we understand is a fully welded	Actions arising No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request. No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
	pipeline). The proposed development will not affect the internal pressure in the pipelines. If the proposed excavations were very close to the pipelines (within 2-3 pipe diameters), it could be the case that stability would be affected, and possibly thrust restraint compromised, but the location of the excavations are at a distance which is well clear of this dimension.	
2. Depending on the sensitivity of the outcome based on the short and long term (total and effective stress) shear strength data that is available already for the in situ geology at the site and the possible need for additional parameter information, it may be necessary to obtain more data close to the pipelines The clay surround has been previously re-worked and replaced as excavated fill and may no longer be representative of virgin material. Monitoring of bank stability would be required due to risk of long term exposure and impact of extreme weather events on banking and differential loading conditions	It is agreed that the material immediately around and above the pipelines is disturbed and this is taken into account in the assessments. It is concluded in the pipeline engineering assessment [14.6.2.3] that the original design stand-off dimension proposed by Augean of 7m from the fence line and a total of 9.5m from the landfill excavation is more than adequate in all cases to make sure that the pipelines will be unaffected by any excavations taking place, and the presence of the excavation activity will not increase the likelihood of pipe failure from the shrink/swell	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
3. It is considered that no additional information is necessary. This was not questioned; our concern was the impact of any burst breeching the containment then filling your basin system. Waters within this may, therefore, be contaminated with material from the land fill to a level above the required leachate control. If filled to the level of the breech there is also the potential to drain back to our required repair area via the breech.	effects associated with the excavation of the clay. Monitoring of bank stability is a requirement of the landfill Environmental Permit. The proposed development will not change any effects on the pipeline as a result of extreme cold or dry weather. This relates to the Applicant's understanding that Anglian Water were concerned that contamination from the landfill could migrate to and affect the quality of the water in the pipes. It is helpful that Anglian Water have now confirmed that they are not concerned regarding this potential risk. The concern that the landfill areas may not have the capacity to manage the volumes of water released into the cell in the very unlikely event of a catastrophic failure of both pipes is addressed in the Pipeline Risk Assessment report [14.6.2.2]. It is concluded that in the highly unlikely event that if all the water from two failed pipes entered the adjacent landfill void, there would be no significant unacceptable environmental consequences. The depth of water in the adjacent open cell would not result in an overflow of contaminated water to the area in which the pipe is located and repairs are being carried out.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
4. It is considered that no additional information is necessary In the event of critical failure and breech as described above and the possibility of filling a cell with water the potential to backflow – however slight – remains as does AW's residual risk from such an event. A risk which we do not currently have.	The probability of such an occurrence is extremely low.	
5. It is considered that no additional information is necessary	It is demonstrated in the Pipeline Risk Assessment report [14.6.2.2] that in the event of a catastrophic failure of the	No change needed to the submitted

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
By creation of the 'corridor' or concern related to ground drain age / topography to the open ends being concentrated to the pipe trench rather than natural dissipation as is currently the situation. Any associated swale or drainage channel should not encroach on easements.	pipes there will be no flooding of the pipeline area that will restrict access to the area for repairs. The locations of the swales in relation to the pipeline corridor is not a factor of concern as they will not affect or restrict access. The swales are designed to be dry for most of the time, they will only function as attenuation basins immediately after heavy rainfall and will be fully drained shortly thereafter.	risk assessments and non material change request.
6. Identify methodology for prediction of the crater and calculate the size. As described previously there are several research papers and methodologies to assess the impact of energy release and water flow. However, Anglian water does not have a mechanism of assessment yet has significant experience is dealing with burst mains and the impact of such events. Large mains at the pressure this main operates at is expected to give both a significant erosion of the surrounding area.	The potential size of a crater has been calculated as presented in the pipeline engineering report [14.6.2.3]. A reasonable worst case calculation shows that at the current design standoff distances to the excavation boundary of 9.5m and to the fence line boundary of 7m, such an extremely unlikely, worst case catastrophic failure would not affect the integrity of the landfill engineering. There would remain a significant buffer distance between the extent of any ground disturbance resulting from the failure and the landfill structure. As explained in the pipeline engineering report [14.6.2.3] it is considered likely that the pipeline pressure stated is representative of the pressure at Peterborough, and is unlikely to represent the pressure in the pipe at the site. The natural soils at the location of the proposed development is stiff clay. The nature of a pipe failure would be that the water under pressure would travel along the route with the least resistance which would be upward through the backfilled as-dug material over and around the	



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
	pipes and not sideways through the adjacent in-situ stiff clays. There is therefore no realistic potential for the creation of significant erosion of the in situ materials at this location.	
6. Confirm the nature of potential failures. The nature of failures is calculated and assessed by our risk model (see attached) however these assessments assume steady state conditions. Our models whilst they take account for ground conditions, pressure and materials they do not take account for additional loading, excavation loadings, exposure of stable soil structures. Our concern is the impact of long term and differential loading to the route through the landfill area (example of failure of a 10" main) Photo as in T1	These points are discussed above and addressed in the risk assessments. It is noted that it is stated here that the failed pipe referenced in the comments on Table 1 is 10" in diameter whereas it is identified as 450mm in diameter above.	As above
6. Confirm the pipeline pressure of 8bar. The pipe line is operating around the 8 bar g range.	As explained in the pipeline engineering report [14.6.2.3] it is considered likely that this pipeline pressure (head) is representative of the pressure at Peterborough, and is unlikely to represent the pressure in the pipe at the site. Nevertheless this assumption does not affect the risk assessment conclusions.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
<ul> <li>7. Confirm the rate of flow from the pipeline and the length of time until the pipeline is isolated.</li> <li>As previously described in earlier communications</li> </ul>	The water release and containment calculations reported in the Pipeline Risk Assessment Report [14.6.2.2] are carried out based on the information provided by Anglian Water in [REP5-011] regarding the duration and water flow rate before shut off.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
8. No additional information needed The quantity of flowing water within the corridor, whilst flowing to a position, will still be channelled through the access and repair area. The Narrower the corridor the greater the influence during the term of the event – remembering we do not turn off supply until we are able to achieve either	It is demonstrated in the Pipeline Risk Assessment report [14.6.2.2] that in the event of a catastrophic failure of the pipes there will be no flooding of the pipeline area that will restrict access to the area for repairs.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
local isolation and flushing for fear of interruption to supply and potential of contaminating the main		
9. No additional information needed. Refer to above	This relates to the Applicant's understanding that Anglian Water were concerned that contamination from the landfill could migrate to and affect the quality of the water in the pipes. It is helpful that Anglian Water have now confirmed that they are not concerned regarding this potential risk.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
Pipeline isolation points. (Word file 884KB)	The document is headed 'Pipeline isolation points and failure data' but there is no failure data included. It is noted from the information provided that there is an upstream isolation valve at the western boundary of the proposed western extension for the southern pipe whereas the closest isolation valve for the northern pipe is approximately 1.4km west of the western site boundary, however the information provided is limited. The water release and containment calculations reported in the Pipeline Risk Assessment Report [14.6.2.2] are carried out based on the information provided by Anglian Water in [REP5-011] regarding the duration and water flow rate before shut off.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
Stantec PR24 likelihoods. (Pdf file 6MB)	This document comprises a better resolution version of the plan provided with the Statement of Mark Froggatt on 11 May 2022 [REP5-011]. No additional information is provided regarding how the plan is derived.	No change needed to the submitted risk assessments and non material change request.
Information provided by Anglian Water on 21 June 2022		

AU/KCW/LZH/1724/01/15.2.6.2



Information provided by Anglian Water on 16 June 2022	Summary comments from the Applicant	Actions arising
Provision of "as built" information	The information provided comprises a GIS plan showing	The initial review
	the locations of the pipes together with attribute tables	suggests that there
	listing some construction details. The Applicant is seeking	are no changes
	clarification from Anglian Water regarding the	
	interpretation of the legend with the attribute tables so that	submitted risk
	the information is understood.	assessments and
		non material
		change request.

